

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/081,103 | SEIDL, GERALD | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Christopher Upton | 1724 | |

All Participants:

(1) Christopher Upton.

(2) Kimberly Brown.

Date of Interview: 4 December 2003

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 11

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior art of record.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend claims 1 and 11 to recite that the emergency discharge doors are above the normal water level in the channel, to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)


 CHRISTOPHER UPTON
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)